## COURSEWORKS@COLUMBIA

Title: A&S Fall 2017 Standard Evaluation Course: POLSW4733\_001\_2017\_3 / RES TPCS IN GAME THEORY-DISC Instructors: Giovanna Maria Invernizzi

## **Program Evaluation System**

Dates: 12/04/2017 - 12/14/2017 Responses: 8/11 - 72.73% Enrollment of Registered Students:11 Enrollment of All Students:11

Instructor Comments Report for: Giovanna Maria Invernizzi

- Q1 Please evaluate your faculty instructor. What are the instructor's strengths? In what ways might his or her teaching be improved? In answering this question, you might address the clarity of the lectures or presentations and their relationship to the other elements of the course, the ability of the instructor to generate enthusiasm and facilitate discussion, the quality of feedback, availability, the timeliness of the return of assignments, etc.
  - Giovanna has taught two years of Game Theory now and she has been wonderful throughout. She is extremely smart, engaging / helpful to students, and holds students to a high standard of learning. She is the perfect intermediary between students and professors because she understands student perspectives and represents their interests, but puts a priority on learning and can communicate professiorial intent on difficult assignments clearly.
  - The lecturer did a terrific job. One could see that it was in his interest to teach the students as much as possible and to make every single student understand. When he felt that things were going too fast, he would take the time to explain and made the syllabus flexible to the demands of the class. That was extremely helpful. The material is not easy to teach, especially if one has students with different backgrounds, but I think he did an excellent job.
  - Giovanna was a great instructor. She was patient with us when we had questions or concerns about the lecture or homework. She walked step-by-step through difficult problems for us and was always well-prepared for section. She was able to explain some of the more difficult concepts from the course and provide additional intuition or math where necessary. She returned our work promptly and was always available for discussion.
  - The TA is super clear and can be understood without any difficulty, which is extremely valuable because the class content (game theory, with a lot of math) is in general hard to explain. She presents material at a reasonable pace, with good examples and to-the-point illustrations. She understands the subject of the class very well. The students are allowed to ask questions freely and the TA is very patient and she can address these questions in a very clear way. The TA is very responsible and very well-prepared for each section. The TA presents the section outline before the class so that we know what to expect and what to prepare. She also provides a variety of learning materials after class to facilitate our understanding. The TA also spends a lot of grading the problems sets and she has done a great job doing that with many useful feedbacks.
  - Giovanna was an awesome TA she takes the time to explain solutions to problem sets in an intuitive manner without losing accuracy. She's very timely with answering questions + grading and is always willing to go the extra mile to help us understand a more difficult concept.
  - She knows the contents very well, she answers email really quickly and she prepares the recitation. Everythhing is cool with her!
  - Giovanna is a fantastic TA.



## **Program Evaluation System**

## Title: A&S Fall 2017 Standard Evaluation Course: POLSW4733\_001\_2017\_3 / RES TPCS IN GAME THEORY-DISC Instructors: Giovanna Maria Invernizzi

Dates: **12/04/2017 - 12/14/2017** Responses: **8/11 - 72.73%** 

> Number of Participants: **11** Enrollment of All Students: **11**

Instructor Graph Report for: Giovanna Maria Invernizzi

1	What is your overall assessment of the effectiveness of the instructor?		N=8
	1 Poor	<b>0</b> (0%)	
	2 Fair	<b>0</b> (0%)	
	3 Good	<b>0</b> (0%)	
	4 Very good	<b>2</b> (25%)	
	5 Excellent		<b>6</b> (75%)
_	Median 5 Interpolate	ed Median <b>4.83</b> Mean <b>4.75</b> Sto	Dev <b>0.46</b>